

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/659,145	Applicant(s) MCMASTERS, MARIE ANN	
	Examiner Ted Kim	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

(1) Ted Kim.

(2) Francis Conte.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Moertle et al

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Moertle et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was determined that the Moertle et al reference had not been applied from the first office action and that the grounds for denying consideration of the affavit were withdrawn. Furthermore, a discussion of "axial spacing" and "transverse spacing" to clarify the issues that have already been developed on the record. It was determined that the use of "axial or locally transverse spacing" was consistent with the disclosure and drawings.